



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 10/561,147 | 06/09/2006 | Rafael Beyar | 060541-0104 | 9102 |
| 26371 | 7590 | 06/19/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 777 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5306 | | | | VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 3763 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 06/19/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/561,147 | BEYAR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | QUYNH-NHU H. VU | 3763 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Quynh-Nhu Vu. (3) _____.

(2) Keith D. Lindenbaum. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7,33 and 51.

Identification of prior art discussed: Plicchiet al. (US 2004/0254566); White et al. (US 6,171,234).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: During the interview, claims 7, 33 and 51 were discussed. The proposal amendment of claims 7, 33 and 51 seem over come the prior arts. However, the Examiner will consider and needs more search the admenments upon Applicant filing the formal response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|---|
| /Quynh-Nhu H. Vu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763 | /Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 |
|--|---|